

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address Of MMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Bey 1150 Mexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/705,542	11 03 2000	Jeffrey L. Hall	81649SMR	6786	
1333	7590 06 25 2003				
PATENT LEGAL STAFF			EXAMINER		
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY 343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			CINTINS,	CINTINS, IVARS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724 DATE MAILED: 06/25 2003	//	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.
--	-------------	-----

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

09/705,542

Examiner **Ivars Cintins** Art Unit 1724

Hall et al.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	1
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136	ϵ (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	this communication.
Status		
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 2	2, 2003	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex		o the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) X Claim(s) 1-28	is/are pendin	g in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		
5) X Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 11-26, and 28	is/are a	illowed.
6) X Claim(s) 2, 5-10, and 27		i
7) Claim(s)		
8) Claims		
Application Papers	·	,
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed oni		e Examiner.
*****	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.3	
11). The proposed drawing correction filed on	-	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		75.07.00 57 10 2
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some* c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		
application from the International B *See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	Sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	di Otogo
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisi	ional application has been received.	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12	21.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No.s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	

Serial Number: 09/705,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

The disclosure is objected to because the term "these color developer solution" (page 5, line 15 of the specification) does not appear to be grammatically correct. Applicant is advised that an amendment changing "these" to --- the --- in the above noted expression would overcome this objection.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6, 7 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear what substituent is represented by the term "ocxazinly" (page 7, line 23 of the specification; and claims 6 and 27, line 6).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2, 5-10 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly

Serial Number: 09/705,542 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The term "ocxazinly" (claims 6 and 27, line 6) is vague, and indefinite as to the substituent intended. Also, the term "wnd" (claims 6 and 27, line 10) appears to be a typographical error which renders these claims further indefinite. Applicant is advised that an amendment changing "wnd" to --- and --- in line 10 of claims 6 and 27 would overcome this portion of the rejection. Furthermore, the recitation that "n is ... an <u>integer</u> from 1 to 2" (claims 6 and 27, line 12) is vague, and indefinite as to the limitation intended, since it is not clear what integer values, other than 1 or 2, are capable of satisfying this expression. Claim 7 depends from claim 6, and therefore suffers similarly. Also, the term "the silver bearing solutions" (claims 2, 5, 8 and 10) is somewhat indefinite, since parent claim 1 merely requires "at least one silver bearing solution" (see lines 2-3), and does not positively recite the presence of a <u>plurality</u> of silver bearing solutions undergoing treatment. Claim 9 depends from claim 8, and is therefore also indefinite.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 11-26 and 28 are allowed. Claims 2, 5-10 and 27 would also be allowed if rewritten or amended to overcome the above rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Serial Number: 09/705,542 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Blaine Copenheaver, can be reached at (703) 308-1261.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins
June 20, 2003